Approved For Release 2003/09/30: CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230030-6

014486

		25X1A
- EXPLAIDED		Acting Assistant Director/Special Activities
	# #	(Security Policy - Directive No. 1 25X1A
	ı	(A) atd 15 Nov 62, Memorandum for the Record of Discussions with DD/R
		(8) OSA-2273-62 dtd 20 Bov 62, Momorandum for the Aselstant Director/OSA

- i. In references (A) and (B) I highlighted my concern over the possible effect of the provisions of paragraph 6 of the Subject directive. In particular I expressed a fear that the paragraph could lessen our control over who is made privy to our projects and may hamstring our operations by requiring us to obtain the approval of the MMO before we could brief a certain category of Government official.
- 3. I have given this matter further consideration and have concluded that by proper interpretation of the paragraph and by establishing the requirement that all requests for access approvals continue to be routed through the OMA Security Branch for a review from the operational accurity standpoint, our operations can continue with the same degree of efficiency as in the past. For your convenience the paragraph he question is quoted below.

Approval of "must-know" for all individuals associated with the MRF will be made by the Mirector, National Reconnaismance Office (DNRO) and specifically designated senior Program officials. Employees and officials of U. S. Government departments, agencies and offices who are not directly involved in the MRF but for whos access to MRF project information is deemed assential, must be approved by the DMRO or his designee. This includes policy officials of the U. S. Government who require moduledge of the reconnaissance effort but not the specific metalls of the secarate projects.

a. Discussion. The DD/R and ADEA should immediately be designated "must-know approving officials for Program B projects.

25X1A

NRO review(s) completed.

25X1A

Approved For Release 2003/09/30 : CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230030-6

	25X1A
10/4	

- Es in the past, to review must-know for Projects I, C, A & C, except requests generated by U. S. Government departments, accuses or offices for people not directly involved in the MMP but for whom excess to Project information is desped essential. The latter category of requests will be routed to the MMP for concurrence in the "need-to-know and those approved will be directed to the CIA Special Security Center via OSA Security. (To maintain an effective Security program, it is important that the CSA review these requests from the operational Security respects prior to the granting of the clearance.)
- c. With regard to CIA people outside of the DD/R, OSA will continue to review 'need-to-know'.
- Senior Agency official desires to discuss project information with Soverment personnel who are not working on our projects from day to day but whose support is essential for the effective management of the project, then I suggest that individual will be construed as "associated with the ERF and the CRA will approve his need-to-know. (I suggest that is a logical interpretation since officials such as

25X1A 25X1A

cially, but dres who must be briefed in death by the Mil or his representative if we are to continue to achieve the tremendous intelligence collection efforts as in the past.)

- G. Of course, as indicated above, miscellaneous State Department officials, for example, who are put in for elegrance for our projects for such a reason as the case of the Deputy Undersoretary of State for Administration who periodically sits in on a conference with the Secretary of State, then that type of case very logically should be referred to the BRO for his concurrence and "need-to-know" and hope-fally, he will nonconcur in many such instances.
- j. It is recommended that the attached mesorandum for the Director of Security be signed.

Chief, Security Brench

25X1A

25X1A

Approved For Release 2003/09/30: CIA-RDP75B00326R000200230030-6

Distribution:
Orig & Cy 2 - AAD/ORA
3 - 2D/OSA
4 - OD/OSA
5 - ADMIN/OSA
6 - SB/OSA
7 - RT/OSA

25X1A